well has also been sunk in the acquired area. However, only two families have so far shifted to the new area.

As regards Giriyapura, acquisition proceedings were started for acquiring 2 acres of land for village extension, but the same were dropped as the villagers did not deposit the acquisition charges and there were no sufficient funds at the credit of the Village Panchayat.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಟಿ. ಜಂಬಣ್ಣ.—ಮನೆ ಕಟ್ಟಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಬೇರೆ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಅಕ್ವೈರ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ, ಇದುವರೆಗೂ ಎರಡು ಮನೆಗಳವರು ಮಾತ್ರ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಹೋಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಬಾಕಿಯವರು ಹೋಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಹಣಕಾಸಿನ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗಿ ಅವರು ಹೋಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸಾಲದ ಮೂಲಕ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಲು ಏರ್ಪಾಡುಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆಯೇ?

*ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಮನೆ ಕಟ್ಟಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಇದುವರೆಗೂ ಸಾಲ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಈಗತಾನೆ ಈ ಅಗ್ರಿವೇಶನದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಮನೂದೆಯನ್ನು ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಮಂಡಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಯೋಜನೆಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ವಿಚಾರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಯಲ್ಲಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಟಿ. ಜಂಬಣ್ಣ.—ಅವರ ದುಸ್ಥಿತಿಯಿಂದ ಸ್ಪೆಷಲ್ ಆಗಿ ಸಾಲ ಮಂಜೂರುಮಾಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಇಂಥ ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಅನೇಕವಿವೆ. ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕಡೆಯಲ್ಲೂ ಕೂಡ ಮನೆಕಟ್ಟಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಹಣ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಕಷ್ಟವಾಗಬಹುದು. ಅಂತೂ ಬಹಳ ಸಹಾನುಭೂತಿಯಿಂದ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಟಿ. ಜಂಬಣ್ಣ.—ಅವರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ರೆಪ್ರಸೆಂಟೇಷನ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ 200 ಎಕರೆಗೂ ಮೇಲ್ಪಟ್ಟು ಜಮೀನು ಮುಳುಗಡೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ, ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಗೆ ಅರಿಕೆಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದು ಗೊತ್ತೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಹೌದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಟಿ. ಜಂಬಣ್ಣ.—ಆ ಫೈಲು ಇದುವರೆಗೂ ಡಿಸ್ಪೋಸ್ ಆಗದೆ ಬಹಳ ಕಾಲ ವಿಳಂಬವಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ ಆದಷ್ಟು ಜಾಗ್ರತೆಯಾಗಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಫೈನಲು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರಿಗೆ ಈ ವಿಚಾರ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಟಿ. ಜಂಬಣ್ಣ.—ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೂ ಆ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರು ಕೊಟ್ಟ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟು ಸರ್ಕಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಪೆಂಡಿಂಗ್ ಇದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಮಾಡಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

Q. No.—2769: **Applications received for Mineral Concessions.**

[For previous supplementaries please see Pages 15–16, Vol. V—No. 1.]

Mr. SPEAKER.—The information is placed on the Table by the Chief Minister. (Printed below.) You can put further questions if you like.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENT FURNISHED BY THE CHIEF MINISTER ON 29TH OCTOBER 1958.

Mining lease pending in different stages as on 28th April 1958—

Year	*Pending in different stages as on 28th February 1958*		
	Revenue and Forest	*Party*	*Office*
	Mining Leases		
1953	... ...	...	...
1954	... 3	...	...
1955	... 4	...	...
1956	... 8	...	2
1957	... 11	3	7
	Prospecting Licenses		
1953	... ...	...	...
1954	... 5	5	3
1955	... 12	16	18
1956	... 7	2	1
1957	... 9	18	16

Sri U. S. NAYAK.—Are the Government aware that there are applications pending for the last five years?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—It is a fact that some cases are pending since three or four years.

Sri U. S. NAYAK.—How many are still pending for the last three years?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The numbers are given. Perhaps the Hon'ble Member has not read it. It has been circulated.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—According to the recent mineral concession rules, people cannot acquire certain mineral areas. Are the Government aware that just because the applications are delayed for the past four or five years on account of these

(SRI C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY)

rules, those people are deprived of these concessions ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The delay is on the part of the parties and not on the part of Government because whenever parties are asked to supply particulars, they are not supplying particulars and they are not showing the areas whenever they are asked to do so. That is the reason for the delay.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—In the answers given, it is seen that some applications are pending in the Forest Department or Revenue Department for years together.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The applications have been sent to the Revenue authorities and Forest authorities and notices have been sent by the concerned authorities to the parties. In spite of the notices, the parties are not coming forward and showing the area. That is the main reason they have given.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—Is there any delay due to the papers being stuck up in the Central Government ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—There is some delay at the Centre also. This Government is concerned with recommending the applications. Ultimately it is the Government of India which has to sanction the licence.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ರಿಯಾಯಿತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕೋರಿ ಬಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳು ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಎಂಡಾರ್ಸ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಬಂದಿರುವುದು ರಾಜ್ಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—That is not correct for the present. Some time back, that fact was considered. Now all the applications pending have been sent to the Government of India.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಈ ವಿಷಯದ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಕೇಸುಗಳು ಈಗ ರಾಜ್ಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಮುಂದೆ ಇದೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Old cases have been sent. If the Hon'ble Member wants the recent number of cases, I am prepared to give.

Sri S. D. GOANKAR.—May I know the amount received by Government by way of royalty and dead rent ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is a different question altogether.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—It is the Central Government that gives the licence.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—According to the Mineral Concession Rules, so far as my knowledge goes, it is the State Government that gives the licence after obtaining the approval of the Central Government. So I want to know whether any amendments have been made to the Mineral Concession Rules recently.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—For scheduled minerals like manganese and iron the sanction of the Government of India is necessary.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—Will Government consider recommending those applications which were received prior to the coming into force of the Mineral Concession Rules which were passed recently, to get those areas acquired ?

(No reply)

Sri U. S. NAYAK.—In some cases the applications take even five years, but in some cases within four months licences are issued. May I know the reason for this ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—It all depends upon the parties. If the party supplies the necessary information immediately, then within a month the application can be sent to the Government of India, but, if the party delays that, there will be delay in sending the application to the Government of India.

Sri U. S. NAYAK.—In spite of supplying the necessary information, so many cases are still pending before the Bellary Deputy Commissioner's court. Is Government aware of that ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The information of the Hon'ble Member is not correct. If the Hon'ble Member points out a specific instance of delay, I am prepared to consider the matter.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—May I know the number of persons from whom these applications are received ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—It is possible for me to give the latest number of applications pending on 31st December 1957.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—I want to know the number of persons who have applied yearwise.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗಲೇ ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—Is it not possible for Government to furnish that information ? If we sort out the applications we can easily know the number of persons who have applied. I want to know whether Government is able to supply that information.

Mr. SPEAKER.—You can get that information from his chamber.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—I want a direction from the Chair in respect of this question. The ex-Deputy Minister seems to suggest that the Government of Mysore is the final authority, but the Chief Minister seems to suggest that it is the Government of India which is the final authority. That being the case, I suggest that the question may be held over for a clear answer.

Mr. SPEAKER.—To that the Chief Minister has already given a reply. There are two kinds of minerals. For one kind of minerals the Government of India gives sanction and for another kind of minerals the State Government gives the permit. In the former category, it is only the approval of this Government that is taken.

Question time is over.

QUESTION FOR ANSWER ON THE DAY

(but not taken up).

Acquisition Proceedings of a Site in Birur Town.

Q.—3789. Sri D. H. RUDRAPPA (Kadur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether there was an acquisition proceeding of a site measuring 50′×60′ in Assessment No. 1579 of Birur town ;

(*b*) the amount of award passed by Land Acquisition Officer at Tarikere ;

(*c*) whether there was an appeal against the award to the District Judge, Shimoga ;

(*d*) the decision thereon ;

(*e*) whether the Birur Municipality preferred an appeal against the decision of the District Judge, Shimoga ?

A.—Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Government).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Rs. 1,577-6-0 including statutory allowance.

(*c*) There was a reference to the District Judge, Shimoga, by the Land Acquisition Officer under Section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act against the award at the instance of the party.

(*d*) The compensation was enhanced to Rs. 9,200 including statutory allowances of Rs. 1,200.

(*e*) No, as it was not a party to the award proceedings.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member Sri Arumugham has made an allegation that he never asked anything about Scheduled Castes in his question. He may come and see his question in my chamber. I will, however, read the question for the benefit of the House. The question is :

(1) "the number of persons fined, suspended or dismissed due to the practice of corruption among the prohibition staff ;

(2) the total number of Gazetted Officers, Ministerial staff and menials and the scheduled castes among them in each department of the State as on 31st December 1956 as against 1st January 1948."

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY (Mysore).—He has written that by force of habit.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have no objection to his writing anything by force of habit, but let him not hang the Department by force of habit.